IRN# 047001

7244 E Main St, Reynoldsburg, OH 43068-2014 - Franklin County



The District Report Card for the 2010-2011 school year shows the progress districts have made based on four measures of performance.





Indicators





Adequate Yearly Progress

The combination of the four measures is the basis for assigning state designations to districts, buildings and community schools.

The six designations are

- Excellent with Distinction
- Excellent
- Effective
- Continuous improvement
- Academic Watch
- Academic Emergency

State Indicators

To meet a test indicator for grades 3-8 and 10, at least 75% of students tested must score proficient or higher

on that test. Other indicator requirements are: 11th grade Ohio Graduation Tests, 85%; Attendance Rate, 93%; Graduation Rate, 90%.


2010-2011 School Year Report Card

Current Superintendent: Stephen D. Dackin (614) 501-1020









State Indicators

Percentage of Students at and above the Proficient Level Your District Similar Districts* 2010-2011 2010-2011 2010-2011

3rd Grade Achievement

- 1. Reading
- 2. Mathematics

4th Grade Achievement

- 3. Reading
- 4. Mathematics

5th Grade Achievement

- 5. Reading
- 6. Mathematics
- Science

6th Grade Achievement

- 8. Reading
- 9. Mathematics

7th Grade Achievement

- 10. Reading
- 11. Mathematics

8th Grade Achievement

- 12. Reading
- 13. Mathematics
- 14. Science

Ohlo Graduation Tests (10th Grade)

- 15. Reading
- 16. Mathematics
- 17. Writing
- 18. Science
- 19. Social Studies

Ohlo Graduation Tests (11th Grade) **

- 20. Reading
- 21. Mathematics
- 22. Writing
- 23. Science
- Social Studies

Attendance Rate

25. All Grades

2009-10 Graduation Rate

26. District

The state re	quirement is 75 pe	ercent
83.8% 🗸	85.8%	79.9%
86.7% 🗸	86.8%	82.0%
The state re	quirement is 75 pe	ercent
90.7% 🗸	88.7%	83.8%
85.1% 🗸	83.2%	78.1%
The state re	quirement is 75 pe	ercent
82.4% 🗸	79.2%	74.1%
75.4% 🗸	72.3%	66.1%
87.7% 🗸	78.7%	71.1%
The state re	quirement is 75 pe	ercent
93.7% 🗸	89.0%	85.6%
89.0% 🗸	81.8%	77.5%
The state re	quirement is 75 pe	ercent
82.0% 🗸	81.2%	77.3%
80.4% 🗸	79.4%	74.8%
The state re	quirement is 75 pe	ercent
89.0% 🗸	88.7%	85.1%
78.3% 🗸	78.4%	74.3%
77.2% 🗸	71.9%	67.4%
The state re	quirement is 75 pe	ercent
92.5% 🗸	90.8%	87.2%
90.3% 🗸	87.0%	82.6%
94.5% 🗸	92.7%	89.5%
81.5% 🗸	80.1%	74.7%
87.5% 🗸	85.7%	80.1%
The state re	quirement is 85 pe	ercent
94.7% 🗸	95.4%	92.4%
93.9% 🗸	92.6%	89.1%
95.0% 🗸	96.3%	93.4%
86.1% 🗸	89.4%	84.2%
91.1% 🗸	92.5%	88.0%
The state re	quirement is 93 pe	ercent
95.1% 🗸	95.2%	94.5%
The state re	quirement is 90 pe	ercent
97.0% 🗸	94.0%	84.3%

Any nesult at or above the state standard is indicated by a
-- = Not Calculated/Not Displayed when there are fewer than 10 in the group.


On the Web: reportcard.ohio.gov


^{*} Similar Districts are based on comparing demographic, socioeconomic and geographic factors. * * Cumulative results for students who took the tests as 10th or 11th grader


Your District's Assessment Results Over Time





All students in the district for a full academic year are included in the results.
















State Indicators
The State
Indicators are

based on state
assessments, as well
as on attendance and
graduation rates.
To earn an indicator
for Achievement or
Graduation Tests, at
least 75% of students
must reach proficient
or above for the given
assessment.

For the 11th grade Ohio Graduation Tests indicators, a cumulative 85% passage rate for each assessment is required.





*Cumulative results for students who took the tests as 10th or 11th graders.

Performance Index

Performance Index Calculations for the 2010-2011 School Year

Performance Level Across Grades 3-8 and 10 for all Tested Subjects (Includes every student enrolled in the district for a full academic year)			Weight	=	Points
Untested	0.4	Χ	0.0	=	0.0
Limited	4.0	Χ	0.3	=	1.2
Basic	10.3	Χ	0.6	=	6.2
Proficient	33.4	Χ	1.0	=	33.4
Accelerated	27.9	χ	1.1	=	30.7
Advanced	24.0	Χ	1.2	=	28.7
	Tested Subjects Ident enrolled in academic year) Untested Limited Basic Proficient Accelerated	Tested Subjects dent enrolled in academic year) Untested 0.4 Limited 4.0 Basic 10.3 Proficient 33.4 Accelerated 27.9	Tested Subjects dent enrolled in accademic year) Untested 0.4 X Limited 4.0 X Basic 10.3 X Proficient 33.4 X Accelerated 27.9 X	Tested Subjects Percentage X Weight Untested 0.4 X 0.0 Limited 4.0 X 0.3 Basic 10.3 X 0.6 Proficient 33.4 X 1.0 Accelerated 27.9 X 1.1	Tested Subjects Percentage X Weight = Untested 0.4 X 0.0 = Limited 4.0 X 0.3 = Basic 10.3 X 0.6 = Proficient 33.4 X 1.0 = Accelerated 27.9 X 1.1 =

Your District's Performance Index 100.3

The Performance Index reflects the achievement of every student enrolled for the full academic

year. The Performance Index is a welghted average that includes all tested subjects and grades and untested students. The greatest weight is given to advanced scores (1.2); the weights decrease for each performance level and a weight of zero is given to untested students. This results in a scale from 0 to 120 points. The Performance Index can be compared across years to show district achievement trends.

Performance Index Over Time

2010-2011 2009-2010 2008-2009 100.3 98.4 99.0

Value-Added Measure

Grade 5

Overall Composite




Scores reflect grade level and overall composite ratings for the 2010-2011 school year.

Grade 7

Grade 8

Reading



Grade 6

Mathematics



Your district's Value-Added rating represents the progress your district has made with its students since last school year. In contrast, achievement scores represent students' performance at a point in time. A score of "Above" indicates greater than one year of progress has been achieved; "Met" indicates one year of progress has been achieved; "Below" indicates less than one year of progress has been achieved.

Legend





Below Expected Growth

Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP)



	equate Yearly Progress des 3-8 and 10		x\$o	Asiant	artiged wift learner	Arterice	n Indian Ale	wa Wative	lei.	nor Kepalic	with Disability	AYP Dete	
r	Reading and Mathematics	AllStude	F. Economi	ASigniP	SCIL BIRCH	ATTRETCS	n. Hispani	E Martin Ro	Applie,	ion students	LinitedEn	AYP Dete	ermination dicator
Percent Proficient	Reading	Met	Met	Met	Met	NR	Met	Met	Met	Not Met	Met	Reading Proficiency:	Not Met
Percent	Mathematics	Met	Met	Met	Met	NR	Met	Met	Met	Not Met	Met	Mathematics Proficiency:	Not Met
Percent Tested	Reading	Met	Met	Met	Met	NR	Met	Met	Met	Met	Met	Reading Participation	Met
Percent	Mathematics	Met	Met	Met	Met	NR	Met	Met	Met	Met	Met	Mathematics Participation	Met
Gr	aduation Rate*	Met										Graduation Rate:	Met
Att	endance Rate*	Met										Attendance Rate:	Met
AYI	Determination by Subgroup	Met	Met	Met	Met	NR	Met	Met	Met	Not Met	Met	AYP Determination for Your District	Not Met

Reading Proficiency:	Not Met
Mathematics Proficiency:	Not Met
Reading Participation:	Met
Mathematics Participation	Met
Graduation Rate:	Met
Attendance Rate:	Met

Legend

This legend explains terms used in the above chart that describe whether each student group met this year's AYP goals.

For test indicators, AYP can be met in one of four ways:

- 1) meeting the AYP targets with current year results;
- 2) meeting the AYP targets with two-year combined results;
- 3) meeting the improvement requirements of Safe Harbor;
- 4) meeting the AYP targets with projected results.

For non-test indicators, AYP can be met in one of three ways:

- 1) meeting the AYP targets with current year results;
- 2) meeting the AYP targets with two-year combined results;
- 3) making improvement over the previous year.
- * The non-test indicators used for overall AYP (Attendance Rate and Graduation Rate) are evaluated only for the All Students subgroup.

N/A	Not applicable.
NR	Not Required — This indicator was not evaluated for this subgroup because the subgroup size was smaller than the minimum number needed to achieve a statistically reliable result. 30 students is the minimum size for the proficiency and non-test indicators, while 40 is the minimum size for the participation rate indicators.
Met	This subgroup met AYP for this indicator with its current year, two-year combined, Safe Harbor, or growth measure results.
Not Me	This subgroup did not meet AYP for this indicator.

. Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) is a federally required measure. Every school and district must meet AYP goals that are set for Reading and Mathematics

Proficiency and Participation, Attendance Rate, and Graduation Rate. These goals are applied to ten student groups: All Students, Economically Disadvantaged Students, Asian/Pacific Islander Students, Black, non-Hispanic Students, American Indian/Alaska

Native Students, Hispanic Students, Multi-Racial Students, White, non-Hispanic Students, Students with Disabilities (IEP), and Students with Limited English Proficiency (LEP). If any one of these groups does not meet AYP in Reading or Mathematics Proficiency, or in Participation, Attendance Rate, or Graduation Rate, then the school or district does not meet AYP. Not meeting AYP for consecutive years will have both federal and state consequences. Federal consequences could include a school or district being identified for improvement. State consequences could include a reduction in the state's rating designation.

2009-2010 Graduation Rate Information

All	American Indian/	Asian or	Black,	Econ.
Students	Alaska Native	Pacific Islander	non-Hispanic	Disadvtgd
>95.0%			>95.0%	>95.0%
Hispanic 	Limited English Proficient 	Multi-Racial	Students with Disabilities 94.7 %	

The disaggregated graduation rates of your school are provided for informational purposes only and are not used for your AYP' determination.

State and Federally Required District Information

Your District's Percentage of Students at Each Performance Level

	Black, non-Hispanic	American Indian/ Alas la Native	Asian or Pacific Islander	Hispanic	Multi- Racial	White, non-Hispanic	Non- Disabled Students	Students with Disabilities	Migrant	Non- Econ. Disadvtgd	Econ. Disadvtgd	Limited English Proficient	Female	Male
Percentage of Students Scoring Limited														
Reading Writing Mathematics Science Social Studies	7.3 1.4 8.3 4.2 7.5	 	6.0 0.0 6.0 0.0 0.0	5.6 7.1 6.5 2.8 14.3	1.7 3.7 2.8 0.8 0.0	1.9 1.0 3.0 1.5 2.5	1.8 0.0 2.0 1.0 2.3	17.2 13.0 23.4 11.8 21.7	 	1.8 1.5 2.6 1.0 1.9	6.9 1.5 8.3 4.5 9.9	5.3 4.3 6.8 5.3 13.0	2.6 2.5 4.4 2.1 4.5	5.3 0.5 5.6 2.7 4.5
Percen	tage of	Student	s Scorii	ng Basid										
Reading Writing Mathematics Science Social Studies	10.7 5.5 17.2 24.9 9.6	 	4.0 0.0 2.0 12.5 9.1	8.3 7.1 20.4 11.1 7.1	8.0 0.0 10.5 10.7 7.7	6.6 3.5 7.1 10.4 6.9	5.7 2.3 9.3 12.8 4.8	23.1 17.4 23.6 34.9 32.6	 	4.7 1.5 7.0 9.6 4.1	13.0 9.2 17.3 24.9 16.0	6.8 4.3 15.0 24.0 4.3	7.0 3.0 11.0 16.9 7.5	9.3 5.0 11.7 14.5 8.5
Percen	tage of	Student	s Scorii	ng Profi	cient									
Reading Writing Mathematics Science Social Studies	44.8 41.4 38.9 31.2 31.5	 	28.0 45.5 22.0 25.0 18.2	53.7 50.0 29.6 33.3 14.3	41.5 25.9 34.8 27.0 23.1	32.2 35.6 27.6 22.9 23.3	38.0 36.4 33.0 27.0 26.8	39.0 50.0 27.7 23.1 19.6	 	32.2 34.4 27.3 24.1 22.3	46.4 45.4 39.1 30.1 33.6	51.5 47.8 38.3 22.7 4.3	36.3 28.9 34.1 26.7 26.0	40.0 47.2 30.6 26.3 26.0
Percen	tage of	Student	s Scorii	ng Acce	lerated									
Reading Writing Mathematics Science Social Studies	24.0 47.6 19.4 23.8 15.1	 	28.0 54.5 18.0 33.3 36.4	21.3 35.7 16.7 33.3 28.6	28.2 63.0 24.7 39.3 15.4	33.4 57.9 28.1 32.1 19.8	32.4 59.0 26.4 31.6 20.1	9.3 10.9 10.0 18.9 6.5	 	33.9 59.3 27.1 31.6 20.8	22.6 41.5 20.1 27.4 13.7	22.3 43.5 19.4 29.3 43.5	31.0 61.7 24.6 28.8 19.0	27.3 45.2 23.7 31.0 18.0
		Student		_		07.0		44.0		a= 1	44.4		00.4	10.1
Reading Writing Mathematics Science Social Studies	13.1 4.1 16.1 15.8 36.3	 	34.0 0.0 52.0 29.2 36.4	11.1 0.0 26.9 19.4 35.7	20.6 7.4 27.2 22.1 53.8	25.9 2.0 34.2 33.0 47.5	22.0 2.3 29.2 27.6 46.0	11.3 8.7 15.2 11.2 19.6	 	27.4 3.3 36.0 33.7 50.9	11.1 2.3 15.2 13.2 26.7	14.1 0.0 20.4 18.7 34.8	23.1 4.0 26.0 25.6 43.0	18.1 2.0 28.4 25.4 43.0

Your District's	Students 2010-2011	

Average Daily Student Enrollment	Black, non- Hispanic	American Indian or Alaska Native	Asian or Pacific Islander	Hispanic	Multi-Racial	White, non- Hispanic	Economically Disadvantaged	Limited English Proficient	Students with Disabilities	Migrant
5811	34.5%		1.6%	3.8%	9.1%	50.9%	41.6%	4.9%	14.0%	

^{-- =} Not Calculated/Not Displayed when there are fewer than 10 in the group.

Number of Limited English Proficient Students Excluded from Accountability Calculations

12

Under the federal No Child Left Behind Act, states are required to report certain data about schools and teachers Data presented here are for reporting purposes only and are not used in the computation of the state designation for districts and schools.

Federally Required School Teacher Information

	All Schools In Your District	Schools Located in Your District*	Schools Located in Your District*
Percentage of teachers with at least a Bachelor's Degree	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Percentage of teachers with at least a Master's Degree	76.2%	84.5%	82.6%
Percentage of core academic subject elementary and secondary classes not taught by highly qualified teachers	0.6%	0.0%	0.0%
Percentage of core academic subject elementary and secondary classes taught by properly certified teachers	99.7%	100.0%	100.0%
Percentage of core academic subject elementary and secondary classes taught by teachers with temporary, conditional or long-term substitute certification/licensure	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%

^{-- =} Not Calculated/Not Displayed when there are fewer than 10 in the group.

^{*}High-powerty schools are those ranked in the top quartile based on the percentage of economically disadvantaged students. Low-powerty schools are those ranked in the bottom quartile based on the percentage of economically disadvantaged students. A district may have buildings in both quartiles, in just one quartile or in neither quartile.

Schools in School Improvement



Name of the School & Years in Improvement

Name of Schools Identified for Improvement and Years in Improvement Status

Herbert Mills Elementary School - 2 Rose Hill Elementary School - 2 Reynoldsburg High School - 2

Generally, a school will enter School Improvement (SI) after missing AYP for two consecutive years, and it can exit SI only after meeting AYP for two consecutive years.

Every school in SI has to create an Improvement plan. If a school in SI receives federal funds, it may have to offer Public School Choice and/or Supplemental Educational Services.

Being in Si for three or more years requires more extensive corrective actions and, eventually, restructuring.

The National Assessment of Educational Progress (NAEP),

often referred to as "The Nation's Report Card," is the only nationally representative and continuing assessment that enables the comparision of performance in Ohlo and other states in various subject areas. Schools and students within each state are selected randomly to be a part of the assessment. Not all students in the state or in a particular school take the assessment. Data are reported at the state level only, and there are no individual student or even school summary results. The assessments are conducted in mathematics, reading, science, writing, the arts, cMcs, economics, geography and U.S. history.

To view Ohlo's most recent NAEP results, go to:

http://education.ohio.gov and search for key word "NAEP"

Determining Your District's Designation

Determining your district's report card designation is a multi-step process. The first step is to determine a preliminary designation, which is based on the following components: 1) the percentage of indicators met, 2) the performance index and 3) AYP determination.







Preliminary Designation		AYP Designation		Performance Index		Indicators Met
Excellent or Effective	=	Met or Not Met	and	100 to 120	or	94%-100%
Effective or Continuous Improvement	=	Met or Not Met	and	90 to 99.9	or	75%-93.9%
Continuous	=	Met	and	0 to 89.9	or	0%-74.9%
Improvement	=	NotMet	and	80 to 89.9	or	50%-74.9%
Academic Watch	=	Not Met	and	70 to 79.9	or	31%-49.9 %
Academic Emergency	=	NotMet	and	0 to 69.9	and	0%-30.9%

The preliminary designation results from identifying the higher value between the percentage of indicators met by your school and your district's performance index. AYP then is evaluated to determine its effect on the preliminary designation. There are two ways in which AYP can affect the preliminary designation.

- If a district meets AYP in the current year, it can be rated no lower than Continuous Improvement.
- If an Excellent or Effective district does not meet AYP for the same two (or more) subgroups for three consecutive years, its rating will be decreased to Effective or Continuous Improvement (respectively).

Once the preliminary designation is determined, Value-Added, the fourth measure in the accountability system, is evaluated to determine the impact (if any) on the district's final designation.

- If your district's designation either is increased or decreased due to AYP, Value-Added has no impact on the designation and the preliminary designation becomes the final designation.
- If your district experiences above expected growth in the current year, your district's final designation will increase by one designation.
- If your district experiences below expected growth for at least three consecutive years, your district's final designation will decrease by one designation.

Preliminary Designation		Value-Added Measure*	Final Designation
Excellent	and	Above expected growth for at least 2 consecutive years	Excellent with Distinction
LACCHETT	and	Below expected growth for at least 3 consecutive years	Effective
Effective	and	Above expected growth for at least 2 consecutive years	Excellent
LIIVVIIV	allu	Below expected growth for at least 3 consecutive years	Continuous Improvement
Continuous Improvement	and	Above expected growth for at least 2 consecutive years	Effective
,	on a	Below expected growth for at least 3 consecutive years	Academic Watch
Academic Watch	and	Above expected growth for at least 2 consecutive years	Continuous Improvement
Academic Watch and		or Below expected growth for at least 3 consecutive years	Academic Emergency
Academic Emergency	and	Above expected growth for at least 2 consecutive years	Academic Watch
rivadeline Efficigency	anu	Below expected growth for at least 3 consecutive years	Academic Emergency

^{*}In all other cases, Yalue-Added will have no impact on the designation and the preliminary designation will become the final designation.

2009-2010 Four-Year "On-Time" Graduation Rate Information

All	American Indian/	Asian or	Black,	Econ.
Students	Alaska Native	Pacific Islander	non-Hispanic	Disadvtgd
82.5%			81.7%	70.7 %
Hispanic 	Limited English Proficient 	Multi-Racial 	Students with Disabilities 53.7%	White, non-Hispanic 85.9%

In 2008, the U.S. Department of Education Issued new regulations to require Ohio and all other states to transition to a new graduation rate formula that will provide more consistency in reporting and will allow for comparisons across states. The new formula, referred to as a "four-year, adjusted cohort graduation rate," includes only graduates who earn either a regular or honors diploma anytime within four years of when they first enter the 9th grade, which includes the summer immediately following their fourth year of high school.

The cohort (group) is created by following the progress of individual students from the time they enter high school. The group is adjusted to take into account students who transfer in or out any time over the four years. The graduation rate is calculated by taking the number of students who graduate in four years or less and dividing it by the number of students in the original list of 9th graders, adjusted to include students who move away or move into the school district.

Ohlo is required to display the new graduation rate in 2011 to help familiarize the public with how it is created and compares to the existing rate. Beginning in 2012, this new rate will be the official graduation rate for Ohlo and will be used for accountability purposes. Ohlo also is required to display the new graduation rate by student group (as shown in the table on this page).

The data on this page is for the graduating Class of 2010. So that summer graduates can be included, Ohio lags its graduation rate by one year. Next year, the new formula (computed for the graduating Class of 2011) will be used in each school or district's AYP calculation and to determine if the school or district met the state indicator for graduation.

Schools in School Improvement, continued